

Serial No. : 10/506,460
Filing Date : February 28, 2005
Page 2

REMARKS

The remarks presented herein are believed to be fully responsive to the Detailed Action of May 22, 2007. Accordingly, reconsideration is requested.

The Detailed Action states that Applicant did not provide a listing of all claims readable on the confluence of both elected groups of species. Thus, an election of all species was not made pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §121.

Accordingly, Applicant herein elects Species A1 from Group A and Species B1 from Group B for prosecution in the present application. Claims 1, 19-49 and 65 are all readable on the Species A1. Claims 6, 22, 37, and 52 are all readable on the Species B1.

As noted in the previous Response, upon the allowance of a generic claim, Applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. 1.141. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 1 is a generic claim, and that claims 2-65, which are dependent on claim 1, should be considered.

Accordingly, in view of the above election of Species A1 and B1, and the above remarks suggesting that claim 1 is a generic claim, an action on the merits of claims 1-65 is earnestly requested.

Should the Examiner have any questions or need further information, Examiner Prakasam is requested to call the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

JANNIS MOUTSOKAPAS

By: Van Dyke, Gardner, Linn & Burkhardt, LLP

Date: June 21, 2007


Deidre D. Link

Registration No. 57 184

2851 Charlevoix Drive, S.E., Suite 207

P.O. Box 888695

Grand Rapids, Michigan 49588-8695

(616) 975-5500

DDL:
Enclosures